

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/826,559	04/04/2001	Bryan Hiromoto	43747		
25225 7	590 10/09/2002				
MORRISON	& FOERSTER LLP	EXAMINER			
3811 VALLEY CENTRE DRIVE			MELLER, MICHAEL V		
SUITE 500					
SAN DIEGO,	CA 92130-2332		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1654		
			DATE MAILED: 10/09/2002	10	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	No.		Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		1			HIROMOTO, BRYAN			
		09/826,559 Examiner			Art Unit			
	Office Action Guilliary		lollor		1651			
	- The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Michael V. M		heet with the c		idress		
۔ Period fo					•			
THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLIANT ALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repliant for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute apply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing display that the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event	, howevery minimexpire Size	er, may a reply be tin num of thirty (30) day X (6) MONTHS from secome ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. communication.		
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24.	July 2002 .						
2a) <u></u>								
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims								
•	Claim(s) 1-65 is/are pending in the applicatio	n.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra		sidera	tion.				
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
	Claim(s) <u>1-65</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requ	ireme	ent.				
•	ion Papers	•						
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
á	a) The translation of the foreign language p Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	rovisional app	olicatio	on has been re	eceived.			
Attachme		-						
1)	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s))	4)	Interview Summa Notice of Informa Other:	ary (PTO-413) Paper I Il Patent Application (I	No(s) · PTO-152)		

Application/Control Number: 09/826,559

Art Unit: 1651

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, claims 1-18, 30,32, and 49-51 in Paper No. 9 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that groups II and V are simply drawn to methods of using the compositions claimed in group I and to methods of making it. Applicant argues that the use of the composition is inherent to the composition and that the method of making the composition is so closely related that no undue burden exists. This is not found persuasive because of the reasons of record. Applicant has provided no evidence that the reasons for restriction of record are not valid, thus the restriction requirement is maintained.

Since applicant did not pick a species for all of the components in the composition a further election of species is set forth.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: the many different anionic surfactant blends, microemulsion surfactant blends, and C₁₁ alcohol with polyalkoxylation ratio of 7:1. Applicant is required to pick specific examples of each of these.

Application/Control Number: 09/826,559

Art Unit: 1651

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Application/Control Number: 09/826,559 Page 4

Art Unit: 1651

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael V. Meller whose telephone number is 703-308-4230. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday: 9:00am-5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Wityshyn can be reached on 703-308-4743. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-0294 for regular communications and 703-308-0294 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

Michael V. Meller Examiner

Art Unit 1651

MVM October 4, 2002